

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/939,483 08/24/2001 | | Fabrice Duprat | 1201-CIP-DIV-2-00 3851 | |
| 22469 | 7590 03/25/2003 | | | |
| SCHNADER | R HARRISON SEGAI | EXAMINER | | |
| 1600 MARKE SUITE 3600 | ET STREET | YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H | | |
| PHILADELPI | HIA, PA 19103 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1642 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1: | | Amuliantia | n No | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Applicatio | on No. | | | | |
| | | 09/939,48 | 3 | DUPRAT ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Christophe | | 1642 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 September 2001</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| , | 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | or alastian rad | uiromont | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| ,— | Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | | · = | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/939,483

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, 24-27, and 33 drawn to a nucleotide sequence, a vector, and a transformed cell, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claims 9-16, drawn to an isolated protein having a potassium permeable channel, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claims 17 and 22, drawn to a method of screening for substances capable of modulating activity of the potassium transport channel, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - IV. Claims 18 and 23, drawn to a substance identified by the method of groupIII. classified in class 435, subclass 183.
 - V. Claims 19-21, drawn to a method of identifying a genetic polymorphism, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - VI. Claim 28, drawn to a method of expressing and isolating a potassium transport channel, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - Claims 29-32, drawn to a transgenic animal, classified in class 800, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/939,483

Art Unit: 1642

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the products are used for different purposes, have different chemical properties, have different structures, and are functionally different.

- 3. Inventions III, V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the different groups are different methods which are used for different purposes. For examples the method of group III is drawn to a method of screening, while the invention of group V is drawn to a method identifying polymorphisms, and the method of group VI is drawn to a method of expression and isolation.
- 4. Inventions I and III, V, VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used for a materially distinct purpose such as a primer or probe for in vitro diagnostics.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/939,483

Art Unit: 1642

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The inventions of group II and VII do not relate to the methods of group III, V and VI because the methods use nucleotide products, while the products are protein and a transgenic animal.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 703-305-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 March 10, 2003

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
CUPETVISCH PROBLEM VEOLUCIER
CCS1 REVOICE VEOLUCIER
CCS1 REVOICE VEOLUCIER